1 2 3 4	David J. Millstein, Esq. (SBN 87878) MILLSTEIN & ASSOCIATES 100 The Embarcadero, Suite 200 San Francisco, California 94105 Tel: 415.348-0348 Fax: 415.348-0336 dmillstein@millstein-law.com	Andrew Winghart, Esq. drew@winghartlaw.com WINGHART LAW GROUP 495 Seaport Court, Suite 104 Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (510) 593-8546 Fax: (866) 941-8593
5 6 7 8 9	C. Sanders McNew (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) McNEW P.A. 2385 NW Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Boca Raton, Florida 33431 Tel: 561.299.0257 Fax: 561.299.3705 mcnew@mcnew.net	John O'Bryan, Esq. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) jobryan@freebornpeters.com Megan Kokontis, Esq. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) mkokontis@freebornpeters.com FREEBORN & PETERS LLP 311 South Wacker Drive, Suite 3000 Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 360-6000
11 12 13	Attorneys for the Plaintiff, ADT Security Services, Inc.	Fax: (312) 360-6520 Attorneys for Defendants Security One International, Inc. and Claudio Hand
15 16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – OAKLAND DIVISION	
17		IFORMA – OAKLAND DIVISION
17 18 19 20	ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC., Plaintiff, vs.	CIVIL ACTION No. C 11-05149 YGR PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING TRIAL STIPULATIONS
18 19	Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION No. C 11-05149 YGR PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING
18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, vs. SECURITY ONE INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,	CIVIL ACTION No. C 11-05149 YGR PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING TRIAL STIPULATIONS Trial Date: September 16, 2013 Time: 8:00 a.m.

Plaintiff ADT Security Services, Inc. ("ADT") and Defendants Security One International, Inc. and Claudio Hand ("Defendants") jointly submit this Proposed Order regarding trial stipulations. The parties agree that the terms of this stipulation shall not be binding or effective unless and until the Court accepts the stipulated terms in their entirety. The parties reserve the right to withdraw agreement to any or all terms if the Court chooses not to accept any term.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE, through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

TRIAL STIPULATIONS AND PROPOSED ORDER

- 1. The parties stipulate that counsel will produce employees of their respective clients listed on the witness lists to testify in this action.
- 2. The parties stipulate that the court may take judicial notice of the number of households in the United States as published on the U.S. Census bureau website, 114,761,359 for 2007-2011.
- 3. The parties stipulate that for any witness who is testifying via trial deposition rather than through live testimony, the relevant excerpts will be read into the record by an attorney and paralegal or by two attorneys for the party offering the witness or designation.
- 4. The parties stipulate that to the extent that recordings are played during the trial, the parties agree to waive the court reporting of said recordings.
- 5. The parties stipulate that any evidence or testimony regarding any of Defendants' insurance is inadmissible.
- 6. The parties stipulate that ADT is precluded from introducing at trial (via proposed jury instruction or otherwise) any evidence regarding any provisions of the Lanham Act not included in the Fourth Amended Complaint, in particular 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(B).
- 7. The parties stipulate that ADT will not seek as damages disgorgement of Security One's profits.
- 8. The parties stipulate to incorporate into the trial record without the necessity of supporting testimony or exhibits the undisputed fact that Security One has not been a Honeywell

Case4:11-cv-05149-YGR Document309 Filed09/09/13 Page3 of 4

dealer or affiliated with Honeywell, nor has Honeywell at any time authorized Security One to represent itself as having an business relationship or affiliation with Honeywell or use its logo during the time period relevant to this case; however, Security One has legally sold and installed Honeywell equipment during the relevant period. IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated: August 27, 2013 Respectfully submitted, MILLSTEIN & ASSOCIATES By: /s/ David J. Millstein David J. Millstein McNEW P.A. By: /s/ C. Sanders McNew C. Sanders McNew Attorneys for the Plaintiff, ADT Security Services, Inc. FREEBORN & PETERS LLP By: _/s/John O'Bryan Z BOYAST R for Defendants S ernational and Claudic IT IS SO ORDERED PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers Dated: <u>September 9</u> _____, 2013. s District Judge Gonza

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1

I, David J. Millstein, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation and Proposed Order. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1, I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 27th day of August 2013, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ David J. Millstein

David J. Millstein